



Chapter Four

Urban Area Travel Demand Modeling Process





Urban Area Travel Demand Modeling Process

Because of the interaction of traffic between Bay City, Saginaw and Midland it was decided that the travel patterns of the area could be better modeled if a regional model was built. The travel demand model used for the Bay City Area Transportation Study (BCATS) 2035 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) is a regional model, referred to as the Tri-City Model that includes Bay, Midland and Saginaw Counties. This effort required coordination and cooperation between BCATS, Saginaw Metropolitan Area Transportation Study (SMATS), Midland County Road Commission and the City of Midland.

The urban area travel demand modeling process for the Bay County portion of the Tri-City Model was a cooperative effort between the Bay City Area Transportation Study (BCATS), being the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO), and the Michigan Department of Transportation, Statewide and Urban Travel Analysis Section (MDOT). MDOT provided the lead role in the process and assumed responsibility for modeling activities with both entities reaching consensus on selective process decisions. The local transportation planning agency is the MPO, comprised of representatives of local governmental units and is the umbrella organization responsible for carrying out transportation planning in cooperation with MDOT and the Federal Highway Administration. This is typically accomplished by full coordination of the local agencies with the MPO.

The results of the modeling effort is to provide an important decision making tool for the MPO Metropolitan Transportation Plan development as well as any transportation related studies that might follow. The modeling process is a systems-level effort. Although individual links of a highway network can be analyzed, the results are intended for determination of system-wide impacts. At the systems level, impacts are assessed on a broader scale than the project level.

The travel demand modeling for BCATS has been completed through the use of *TransCAD* software utilized by MDOT. The model is a computer simulation of current and future traffic conditions and is a system-level transportation planning model. The deficiencies identified are generalized 24-hour (daily) deficiencies, based on generalized 24-hour capacities and traffic assignment volumes.

The urban travel demand forecasting process used has six phases:

10. **Data Collection**, in which socio-economic and facility inventory data are collected.
11. **Trip Generation**, which calculates the number of trips produced in or attracted to a traffic analysis zone (TAZ).
12. **Trip Distribution**, which takes the trips produced in a TAZ and distributes them to all other TAZs, based on attractiveness of the zone.



13. **Traffic Assignment**, determines what routes are utilized for trips.
14. **Model Calibration/validation**, which involves verifying that the volumes (trips) simulated in traffic assignment replicate observed traffic counts.
15. **System Analysis**, tests alternatives and analyzes changes in order to improve the transportation system.

There are two basic systems of data organization in the travel demand forecasting process. The first system of data is organized based on the street system. Roads with a national functional class (NFC) designation of "minor collector" and higher are included in the network. Some local roads are included to provide connectivity in the network or because they were deemed regionally significant. The unit of analysis is called a "link." Usually, a link is a segment of roadway which is terminated at each end by an intersection. In a traffic assignment network, intersections are called "nodes." Therefore, a link has a node at each end.

The second data organization mechanism is the traffic analysis zones. Zones are determined based upon several criteria, including similarity of land use, compatibility with jurisdictional boundaries, the presence of physical boundaries, and compatibility with the street system. Streets are generally utilized as zone boundary edges. All socio-economic and trip generation information for both the base year and future year are summarized by zone.

The two data systems, the street system (network) and the zone system (socio-economic data), are interrelated through the use of "centroids." Each zone is portrayed on the network by a point (centroid) which represents the weighted center of activity for that zone. A centroid is connected by a set of links to the adjacent street system. That is, the network is provided with a special set of links for each zone which connects the zone to the street system. Since every zone is connected to the street system by these "centroid connectors," it is possible for trips from each zone to reach every other zone by way of a number of paths through the street system.

Network

A computerized "network" (traffic assignment network) is built to represent the existing street system. The Tri-city Model network is based on the Michigan Geographic Framework version 4 and includes most streets within the study area classified as a "minor collector" or higher by the national functional classification system. Other roads are added to provide continuity and/or allow interchange between these facilities.

Transportation system information or network attributes required for each link include roadway type, link type, area type, lane width, number of through lanes, parking available, percent commercial



traffic, posted speeds, national functional classification, traffic counts (where available), and 24-hour volumes for a specified level of service (frequently described as its capacity). If the information is not the same for the entire length of a link, the predominant value is used. The network attributes were provided to the MPO and MDOT staff by the respective road agencies, with the exclusion of 24 hour link capacity. The 24 hour link capacity was determined by utilizing the Capacity Calculator program which takes into account the network attributes and sets a capacity that would approximate a level of service "C" or acceptable level of traffic. Higher volume to capacity ratios are characterized by: stop-and-go-travel, reduced flow rates and severe intersection delays. This typifies unacceptable or deficient traffic conditions.

The street network is used in the traffic assignment process. The traffic assignment process takes the trip interactions between zones from trip distribution and loads them onto the network. The travel paths for each zone-to-zone interchange are based on the minimum travel time between zones. They are calculated by a computer program which examines all possible paths from each origin zone to all destination zones. The shortest path is determined by the distance of each link and the speed at which it operates. The program then calculates travel times for all of the possible paths between centroids and records the links which comprise the shortest travel time path.

Speeds used to calculate minimum travel times are based on each link's national functional classification, posted speed, and number of travel lanes. Speeds represent a relative impedance to travel and not posted speed limits.

Trip Generation

The trip generation process calculates the number of person-trips produced from or attracted to a zone, based on the socio-economic characteristics of that zone. The urban transportation forecasting models do not consider travel characteristics such as direction, length, or time of occurrence as part of trip generation. The relationship between person-trip making and land activity are expressed in equations for use in the modeling process. The formulas were derived from transportation study data and other research throughout the United States. Productions were generated with a cross-classification look-up process based on autos and dwellings. Attractions were generated with a regression approach. Detailed discussions on the development of the formulas are provided in the Travel Estimation Techniques for Urban Planning by National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP), dated January 1996. In order to develop a trip table, productions (P's) and attractions (A's) must be balanced also referred to as normalization.

Trips that begin or end beyond the study area boundary are called "cordon trips." These trips are made up of two components: external to internal (EI) or internal to external (IE) trips and through-trips (EE). EI trips are those trips which start outside the study area and end in the study area. IE



trips start inside the study area and end outside the study area. EE trips are those trips that pass through the study area without stopping; this matrix is referred to as the through-trip table.

Trip Distribution

Trip distribution involves the use of mathematical formula which determines how many of the trips produced in a zone will be attracted to each of the other zones. It connects the ends of trips produced in one zone to the ends of trips attracted to (in) other zones. The equations are based on travel time between zones and the relative level of activity in each zone. Trip purpose is an important factor in development of these relationships. The trip relationship formula developed in this process is based on principals and algorithms commonly referred to as the Gravity Model.

The process which connects productions to attractions is called trip distribution. The most widely used and documented technique is the "gravity model" which was originally derived from Newton's Law of Gravity. Newton's Law states that the attractive force between any two bodies is directly related to the masses of the bodies and inversely related to the distance between them. Analogously, in the trip distribution model, the number of trips between two areas is directly related to the level of activity in an area (represented by its trip generation) and inversely related to the distance between the areas (represented as a function of travel time).

Research has determined that the pure gravity model equation does not adequately predict the distribution of trips between zones. In most models the value of time for each purpose is modified by an exponentially determined "travel time factor" or "F factor" --also known as a "Friction Factor." "F factors" represent the average area-wide effect that various levels of travel time have on travel between zones. The "F factors" used were developed from the process described in the Travel Estimation Techniques for Urban Planning, NCHRP. The matrix is generated in TransCAD during the gravity model process.

The primary inputs to the gravity model are the normalized P's & A's by trip purpose developed in the trip generation phase. The second data input is a measure of the temporal separation between zones. This measure is an estimate of travel time over the transportation network. Zone-to-zone travel times are referred to as "skims."

In order to more closely approximate actual times between zones and also to account for the travel time for intra-zonal trips, the skims were updated to include terminal and intra-zonal times. Terminal times account for the non-driving portion of each end of the trip and were generated from a look-up table based on area type. They represent that portion of the total travel time used for parking and walking to the actual destination. Intra-zonal travel time is the time of trips that begin and end within the same zone. Intra-zonal travel times were calculated utilizing a nearest neighbor routine.



The Gravity Model utilizes the by-purpose P's & A's, the by-purpose "F factors", and the travel times, including terminal and intra-zonal. The by-purpose P's & A's (trip table) are then converted to auto trips and combined with the through-trip table and then balanced so that the zonal P & A's are equal. The resulting total trip table is used for subsequent analysis.

Traffic Assignment

The traffic assignment process takes the trips produced in a zone (trip generation) and distributed to other zones (trip distribution) and loads them onto the network via the centroid connectors. A program examines all of the possible paths from each zone to all other zones and calculates all reasonable time paths from each zone (centroid) to all other zones. Trips are assigned to paths that are the shortest path between each combination of zones. As the volumes assigned to links approach capacity, travel times on all paths are recalculated to reflect the congestion and the remaining trips are assigned to the next shortest path. This process continues through several iterations until no trip can reduce its travel time by taking the next shortest path. This is a user equilibrium assignment method and reflects the alternative routes that motorists use as the shortest path become congested. The assignment produces an assigned volume for each link.

Model Calibration/Validation

Model calibration/validation verifies that the assigned volumes simulate actual traffic counts on the street system. When significant differences occur, additional analysis is conducted to determine the reason. At this time, modifications may be made to the network speeds and configurations (hence paths), trip generation (special generators), trip distribution (F factors), socio-economic data, or traffic counts.

The purpose of the model calibration phase is to verify that the base year assigned volumes from the traffic assignment model simulate actual base year traffic counts. When this step is completed, the systems model is considered statistically acceptable. This means that future socio-economic data can be substituted for base (existing) data, the trip generation, trip distribution, and traffic assignment steps can be repeated, and future trips can be simulated for systems analysis. It is assumed that the quantifiable relationships modeled in the base year will remain reasonably stable over time.

Applications of the Calibrated/Validated Model

Forecasted travel is produced by substituting forecasted socio-economic and transportation system



data for the base year data. This forecasted data is provided by the MPO. The same mathematical formulae are used for the base and future year data. The assumption is made that the relationships expressed by the formulae in the base year will remain constant over time (to the target date).

After either base year or future trips are simulated, other types of modeling studies can be conducted.

- Network alternatives to relieve congestion can be tested for the 2035 Metropolitan Transportation Plan. Future traffic can be assigned to the existing network to show what would happen in the future if no improvements were made to the present transportation system. This process is often referred to as "deficiency analysis." From this, improvements can be planned that would alleviate demonstrated capacity problems.
- The impact of planned roadway improvements or network changes can be assessed.
- Links can be analyzed to determine what zones are contributing to the travel on that link. This can be shown as a percentage breakdown of total link volume.
- The network can be tested to simulate conditions with or without a proposed bridge. The assigned future volumes on adjacent links would then be compared to determine traffic flow impacts. This, in turn, would assist in assessing whether the bridge should be replaced and/or where it should be relocated.
- Road closure/detour evaluation studies can be conducted to determine the effects of closing a roadway. This type of study is very useful for construction management.
- The impacts of land use changes on the network can also be evaluated (e.g., what are the impacts of a new regional mall being built).

Two issues are critical in using the modeling tools and processes:

- The modeling process is most effective for system level analysis. Although detailed volumes for individual intersection and "links" of a highway are an output of the model, additional analysis and modification of the model output may be required for project level analysis.
- The accuracy of the model is heavily dependent on the accuracy of the socio-economic data and network data provided by the local participating agencies, and the skill of the users in interpreting the reasonableness of the results.

System Analysis for MTP



Generally three different alternative scenarios are developed for the Metropolitan Transportation Plan:

1. Existing trips on the existing system. This is the "calibrated," existing network/scenario. This is a prerequisite for the other two scenarios.
2. Future trips on the existing network. Future trips are assigned to the existing network. This alternative displays future capacity and congestion problems if no improvements to the system are made. This is called the "do nothing" alternative, and usually includes the existing system, plus any projects which are committed to be built in the future.
3. Future trips on the future system. This scenario is the future Metropolitan Transportation Plan network. It includes suggested improvements to alleviate congested sub areas or corridors.

It is important to remember that the volume to capacity ratio reflects a 24 hour volume and a 24 hour capacity. It does not reflect deficiencies that only occur briefly at certain time periods, because of roadway geometrics, or roadway condition. Please refer to the maps of the deficiencies in Appendix A and chapter 5 for the 24 hour capacity deficiencies identified by the model. Remember that the 2035 network includes all of the MTP capacity improvement projects.